FILED Clerk, U.S. District court
JUN 1 3 2017
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. 2:17-MJ-01494-DUTY-3	
Plaintiff, v. TEHEA MAI, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. § 3142(i))	
	- ′ I.	
A. () On motion of the Government	ent in a case allegedly involving:	
1. () a crime of violence;	one in a case anegoary involving.	
2. () an offense with a ma	eximum sentence of life imprisonment or death;	
3. (X) a narcotics or contro	olled substance offense with a maximum sentence of	
4. () any felony - where prior offenses describ	the defendant has been convicted of two or more bed above;	
•	t otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor	

1		victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any	
2		other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	
3	B. (X)	On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case allegedly	
4		involving:	
5		1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee;	
6		2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:	
7		a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice;	
8		b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or	
9		attempt to do so.	
10	C.	The Government (X) is/ $(\)$ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no	
11		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's	
12		appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.	
13			
14		II.	
15	A. (X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably	
16		assure:	
17		1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.	
18		(X) and/or	
19		2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.	
20	B. (X)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the	
21		contrary the presumption provided by statute.	
22			
23		III.	
24	The	e Court has considered:	
25	A. the	nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;	
26	B. the	weight of evidence against the defendant;	
27	C. the	history and characteristics of the defendant; and	
28	D. the	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.	

IV.

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report and recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

- A. (X) The history and characteristics of the defendant indicate a serious risk that the defendant will flee, because the risk of flight is presumed in this case; no bail resources have been proffered to mitigate the risk of flight; defendant is a foreign national with no ties to this district; and defendant submitted on the issue of detention.
- B. (X) The defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the community because of the nature and seriousness of the allegations in this presumption case.

VI.

- A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
 - 1.() obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
 - 2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

VII.

- A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.

- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 13, 2017

KAREN L. STEVENSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE